

Goodness of Estimators

Learning Outcomes

- Cramér-Rao Inequality
- Relative Efficiency
- Consistency
- Sufficiency

Efficiency

The efficiency of an estimator T , where T is an unbiased estimator of θ , is defined as

$$\text{efficiency of } T = \frac{1}{\text{Var}(T)nI(\theta)}$$

Relative Efficiency

Given 2 unbiased estimators $\hat{\theta}_1$ and $\hat{\theta}_2$ of a parameter θ , with variances $V(\hat{\theta}_1)$ and $V(\hat{\theta}_2)$, respectively, then the efficiency of $\hat{\theta}_1$ relative to $\hat{\theta}_2$ is defined as

$$releff(\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2) = \frac{\hat{\theta}_2}{\hat{\theta}_1}$$

Consistency

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with parameter θ . The estimator $\hat{\theta}$ is a consistent estimator of the θ if

1. $E\{(\hat{\theta} - \theta)^2\} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$
2. $P(|\hat{\theta} - \theta| \geq \epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for every $\epsilon > 0$

Weak Law of Large Numbers

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, for every, $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P(|\bar{X} - \mu| < \epsilon) = 1$$

that is, \bar{X}_n converges in probability to μ .

Strong Law of Large Numbers

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be iid random variables with $E(X_i) = \mu$ and $Var(X_i) = \sigma^2 < \infty$. Let $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, for every, $\epsilon > 0$,

$$P\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{X} - \mu| < \epsilon\right) = 1$$

that is, \bar{X}_n converges almost surely to μ .

Cramér-Rao Inequality

Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$ be the joint PMF or PDF of X_1, \dots, X_n and $T = t(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ be an unbiased estimator of θ . Then

$$\text{Var}(T) \geq \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$$

If $\text{Var}(T) = \frac{1}{nI(\theta)}$, then T is considered the most efficient estimator of θ .

Sufficiency

Sufficiency evaluates whether a statistic (or estimator) contains enough information of a parameter θ . In essence a statistic is considered sufficient to infer θ if it provides enough information about θ .

Sufficiency

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with parameter θ . A statistic $T = t(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is said to be sufficient for making inferences of a parameter θ if condition joint distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n given $T = t$ does not depend on θ .

Joint Sufficient Statistics

Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a distribution with parameters $\theta = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_m)^T$. A joint statistic $T = \{t_1(X_1, \dots, X_n), \dots, t_k(X_1, \dots, X_n)\}^T$ is said to be sufficient for making inferences on parameters θ if condition joint distribution of X_1, \dots, X_n given $T = t$ does not depend on θ .

Factorization Theorem

Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_n; \theta)$ be the joint PMF or PDF of X_1, \dots, X_n . Then $T = t(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is a sufficient statistic for θ if and only if there exist 2 nonnegative functions, g and h , such that

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = g\{t(x_1, \dots, x_n); \theta\}h(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

Minimum Sufficient Statistics

A minimum sufficient statistic is a sufficient statistic that has the smallest dimensionality, which represents the greatest possible reduction of the data without any information loss.

Complete Sufficient Statistics

Let $f(t; \theta)$ be the joint PMF of PDF of $T = t(X_1, \dots, X_n)$. The family distribution is called complete if $E_{\theta}\{g(T)\} = 0$ for all θ implies $P_{\theta}\{g(T) = 0\} = 1$ for all θ . Then T is called a complete sufficient statistic.

Example 1

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} Pois(\lambda)$, show that $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for λ .

Example 2

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n \stackrel{iid}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$, show that $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i, \sum_{i=1}^n X_i^2)^T$ is a sufficient statistic for μ and σ^2 .